

Decisions of the Hendon Area Planning Committee

30 November 2017

Members Present:-

Councillor Maureen Braun (Chairman)

Councillor Claire Farrier
Councillor Sury Khatri
Councillor Zakia Zubairi (as a
substitute)

Councillor Hugh Rayner
Councillor Agnes Slocombe

Apologies for Absence

Councillor Brian Gordon

Councillor Gill Sargeant

1. MINUTES

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 1 November 2017 be approved as a correct record.

2. ABSENCE OF MEMBERS (IF ANY)

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Gordon and from Councillor Sargeant who was substituted by Councillor Zubairi.

3. DECLARATION OF MEMBERS' DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS AND NON PECUNIARY INTERESTS (IF ANY)

Councillor Rayner declared a non-pecuniary interest on the agenda item relating to 44 The Reddings, London, NW7 4JR as the objector is a fellow Councillor and known to him as a colleague.

Councillor Zubairi declared a non-pecuniary interest on the agenda item relating to 44 The Reddings, London, NW7 4JR as she lives on The Reddings and the objector is a fellow Councillor and known to her.

Councillor Khatri declared a non-pecuniary interest on the agenda item relating to 44 The Reddings, London, NW7 4JR as the objector is a fellow Councillor and known to him as a colleague.

Councillor Rayner declared a non-pecuniary interest on the agenda item relating to The Pillar Chapel, 19 Brent Street, London, NW4 2EU as the applicant is the landlord of the building in which the Hendon Conservative Association Office was previously located.

Councillor Khatri declared a non-pecuniary interest on the agenda item relating to The Pillar Chapel, 19 Brent Street, London, NW4 2EU as the applicant is the landlord of the building in which the Hendon Conservative Association Office was previously located.

4. REPORT OF THE MONITORING OFFICER (IF ANY)

None.

5. ADDENDUM (IF APPLICABLE)

The Committee noted the addendum.

6. 44 THE REDDINGS LONDON NW7 4JR - 17/5556/HSE

The application was called in by Councillor Khatri for the following reasons:

The plans in the application at the time are different to those presented to the Committee, and the original application was called in because it would be a gross overdevelopment, substantial increase in scale, size, volume and negative visual impact, not in keeping with and compromising the appearance of the Street scene

The Planning Officer introduced the application and addendum, which related to 44 The Reddings.

Oral representation in objection to the application was heard from Councillor Joan Scannell.

An oral representation was made by the applicant, Vikas Deshpande.

Following discussion of the item, the Chairman moved to vote on the recommendation in the cover report, which was to approve the application subject to conditions

The votes were recorded as follows:

| | |
|---------|---|
| For | 4 |
| Against | 1 |
| Abstain | 1 |

The Committee therefore **RESOLVED to APPROVE** the application, subject to the conditions as per the officer's report and addendum.

7. 141-143 DOLLIS ROAD LONDON NW7 1JX - 17/3796/FUL

The Chairman informed the Committee that the item had been withdrawn.

8. ST VINCENTS FARM COTTAGE THE RIDGEWAY LONDON NW7 1EL - 17/4788/CON

The Planning Officer introduced the application and addendum, which related to St Vincent's Farm Cottage, The Ridgeway.

Following discussion of the item, the Chairman moved to vote on the recommendation in the cover report, which was to approve the application subject to conditions

The votes were recorded as follows:

| | |
|---------|---|
| For | 6 |
| Against | 0 |
| Abstain | 0 |

The Committee therefore **RESOLVED to APPROVE** the application, subject to the conditions and addendum as per the officer's report.

9. THE PILLAR CHAPEL 19 BRENT STREET LONDON NW4 2EU - 17/4427/FUL

The Planning Officer introduced the application, which related to The Pillar Chapel, 19 Brent Street London.

Oral representations in objection to the application were heard from Mark Skelton, James Gibson and from the local ward Councillor, Councillor Langleben.

An oral representation was made by the applicant Benjamin Perl.

Following discussion of the item, Councillor Farrier moved that the decision to refuse should include the noise nuisance impact on the properties immediately off the site. This was unanimously agreed by the committee.

The Chairman then moved to the recommendation in the Annex report, which was to refuse the application for the reasons set out in the annex report as amended by the committee.

The votes were recorded as follows:

| | |
|---------|---|
| For | 6 |
| Against | 0 |
| Abstain | 0 |

The Committee therefore RESOLVED that application be REFUSED as per the reasons set out in the annex report as amended by the committee.

10. 37 CHURCH ROAD LONDON NW4 4EB - 17/4534/FUL

The Planning Officer introduced the application and addendum, which related to 37 Church Road.

Oral representations in objection to the application were heard from Julian Dixon and Sanja Baletic.

An oral representation was made by a representative of the applicant.

Following discussion of the item, the Chairman moved to vote on the recommendation in the cover report, which was to approve the application subject to conditions

The votes were recorded as follows:

| | |
|---------|---|
| For | 5 |
| Against | 0 |

| | |
|---------|---|
| Abstain | 1 |
|---------|---|

The Committee therefore **RESOLVED to APPROVE** the application, subject to the conditions as per the officer's report and addendum.

11. SPECTRUM HOUSE HILLVIEW GARDENS LONDON NW4 2JR - 17/6496/FUL

The Planning Officer introduced the application, which related to Spectrum House Hillview Gardens.

Oral representations in objection to the application were heard from Stefan Bialoguski and Renata Sieps.

An oral representation was made by a representative of the applicant.

The Chairman proposed an additional condition that was unanimously agreed by the committee to ensure that the rear elevation wall was white painted render and that any windows on the rear elevation are obscure glazed and fixed shut permanently.

Following discussion of the item, the Chairman moved to vote on the recommendation in the cover report, which was to approve the application subject to conditions.

The votes were recorded as follows:

| | |
|---------|---|
| For | 5 |
| Against | 1 |
| Abstain | 0 |

The Committee therefore **RESOLVED to APPROVE** the application, subject to the conditions as per the officer's report and the additional condition agreed by the committee.

12. ANY ITEM(S) THE CHAIRMAN DECIDES ARE URGENT

None.

The meeting finished at 9.55 pm

(a) F
I
E
L
D

I
T
E
M

N
U
M
B
E
R

(b) F
I
E
L
D

I
T
E
M

N
U
M
B
E
R